

REMARKS

Applicant received a Notice to File Corrected Application Papers dated November 17, 2003, which stated that a substitute specification must be timely submitted in order to avoid abandonment. However, there were no clear indications on the notice as to the reasons why the originally filed Specification was deemed to be insufficient.


Applicant thanks Mr. Wallace of OIPE for discussing this matter with Applicant's U.S. representative. During this discussion, Mr. Wallace confirmed that there was no specific indication why the Office deemed the originally filed Specification to be deficient. However, he indicated the deficiency was probably attributable to both the orientation of the Tables and the quality of the paper.

In view of this discussion, Applicant attaches herewith a Substitute Specification in which the only changes that have been made relative to the originally filed Specification involve the orientation of Tables 1-9 and the paper quality. Thus, no new matter will be added upon entry of the Substitute Specification.

In the event that the Office finds this Substitute Specification to be deficient, it is requested that Applicant be given a specific reason for the deficiency, in order to aid in the response process.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Norman F. Oblon  
Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 24,618

Customer Number  
**22850**

Tel: (703) 413-3000  
Fax: (703) 413 -2220  
(OSMMN 08/03)

**Daniel R. Evans, Ph.D.**  
**Registration No. 55,868**

